	0005	95 REQ	JEST FOR C		OIT	1		1. CERTIFICATE NU (FOR AUDITOR'S	USE ON.
TO:			2. FROM (ORIGINATIN					3. DATE:	001
	CITY AT	FORNEY	CITY ATTO	RNEY				07/11/2007	
4. SUBJE	CT:								
			payment for inv						
Perlm	an for Lega	1 Services in SD	POA v. Aguirre,	et al.; Marcus	Abbe	, et al. v. City o	of San Diego;	and <i>Aaron, et al</i>	.v. Aguirre
				Bradley x36173		NE, & MAIL STA.)	7. CHECK BOX IF RE	PORT TO COUNCIL IS A	TACHED
Don N	1cGrath x358	800		PLETE FOR AC		TING DUDDOSE			
UND-			8.COIVIF	LETE FOR AC	COOIY	ING FURFUSE		NAL INFORMATION / ES	TIMATED COST:
		<u> </u>							
DEPT. ORGANIZ	74.TION	81140				 			
		<u> 3501 </u>	<u> </u>						
	ACCOUNT	4141							
JOB ORD		081141							
C.I.P. NU									
AMOUNT		\$3,215,000.00	<u> </u>						
	1	, 	1	0. ROUTING A					
ROUTE (#)	APPROVING AUTHORITY	17	AL SIGNATURE	DATE SIGNED	ROUTE (#)	APPROVING AUTHORITY	APPR	OVAL SIGNATURE	DATE SIGNED
1	ORIG. DEPT	FAREN HER	Monn	7/12/17	8	DEPUTY CHIEF		W .	
2	RISK MGT.	Zanto.		7-17-07	9	coolero	Sell for	(bru)	7/12/07
3	ļ <u>.</u>	00			10	CITY ATTORNEY	Ban H	estype-	7/12/0
4	AUDITOR	Jam Wally		7-12-07	11	ORIG. DEPT	Kan Her	Petrin	7/2/07
5		- Single				DOCKET COORD:		OUNCIL LIAISON	
6					√	COUNCIL PRESIDENT	SPOB C	ONSENT 🖽	ADOPTION
7						my c	REFER TO:	COUNCIL I	DATE: 7/2/
11. P	REPARATION OF:	≠ RE	SOLUTIONS	☐ ORDINA	NCE(S)	□ A	GREEMENT(S)	☐ DEED(S)
out al.; 2. A	side counse Marcus Ab	l Latham & Wat be, et al. v. City	continued retent kins, LLP & We of San Diego; and r and Comptrolle	ehner & Perlmand Aaron, et al	an to r !. v. Ag	epresent the C guirre	ity of San Die	go in SDPOA v.	Aguirre, et
	STAFF RECOMME	NDATIONS:				··			
Ad	opt the reso	lution and autho	rize the Auditor	to appropriate	and is	sue an Auditor	r's Certificate t	o fund this obli	gation.
			TO A.R. 3.20 FOR II						<u> </u>
CO	UNCIL DIS	TRICT(S)·	All						
	MMUNITY /		Not applicable						
- "		ITAL IMPACT:	This action is		" for	nurnoses of C	EOA ·		
	USING IMP		Not applicable		. 101	,	241		
		_			_				a caath
<u> </u>	HER ISSUE floor, '		Clerk requested)) with a certifi				: City Attorne	y Don McGra	th (11"

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY SHEET

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

DATE ISSUED:

July 11, 2007

REPORT NO:

ATTENTION:

Mayor and City Council members

ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT: City Attorney

SUBJECT: -

-Authorizing-continued-retention,-payment-for-invoices-andfuture reserve funding for Latham & Watkins, LLP and Wehner & Perlman for Legal Services in SDPOA v. Aguirre. et al.; Marcus Abbe, et al. v. City of San Diego; and Aaron, et al. v. Aguirre [SDPOA #1, SDPOA #2 and SDPOA #3]

COUNCIL DISTRICT(S):

Not applicable

CONTACT/PHONE NUMBER:

Don McGrath, 533-5860 or Todd Bradley, 533-3173

REQUESTED ACTION: Approve continued retention, payment for invoices and future reserve funding for Latham & Watkins, LLP and Wehner & Perlman for Legal Services in matters designated as SDPOA #1, SDPOA #2 and SDPOA #3

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Adopt the resolution and authorize the Auditor to appropriate and issue an Auditor's Certificate to fund this obligation.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: SDPOA #1, SDPOA #2 and SDPOA #3 have been combined for billing purposes at this time.

1. San Diego Police Officers Association v. Michael Aguirre, et al. [SDPOA #1], United States District Court Case No. 05 CV 1581

On August 23, 2005, the San Diego Police Officers Association filed and served a complaint in this case. The case raised issues related to labor negotiations and retirement issues between the City and the POA. SDPOA #1 has now been dismissed by the United States District Court. However, we are informed the POA intends to appeal the decision to the Ninth Circuit, which will require a reserve amount for additional, anticipated legal fees.

In closed session on July 10, 2007, the Council was informed of the status of the case and the legal fees pending. The Council was informed of the need for additional funding and that funding could come from the Public Liability Fund.

Outstanding Invoices Currently Due:

800,000.00 – Latham & Watkins

65,000.00 - Wehner & Perlman

Request for Future Reserve:

\$ 300,000.00 - Latham & Watkins

Request for Future Reserve:

\$ 150,000.00 - Wehner & Perlman

The total amount requested for this case in this resolution is thus \$1.315 million, including \$1.1 million for Latham & Watkins and \$215,000 for Wehner & Perlman.

2. Marcus Abbe, et al. v. City of San Diego [SDPOA #2], United States District Court Case No. 05 CV 1629

On August 16, 2005, more than 1,900 members of the San Diego Police Department filed this lawsuit, claiming they are owed more than \$100 million in compensation, including overtime pay, under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA).

Plaintiffs have filed motions for partial summary judgment on liability issues. The City contemplates filing cross motions. Discovery is ongoing. Motions are scheduled to be heard in September. A mediation also has been scheduled. The City anticipates that defending the case through trial, if necessary, will cost an additional \$2 million in attorney fees, expert fees and costs. A tentative trial date has been set for November 2008.

In closed session on July 10, 2007, the Council was informed of the status of the case and the legal fees pending. The Council was informed of the need for additional funding and that funding could come from the Public Liability Fund.

Outstanding Invoices Currently Due:

\$ 100,000.00 - Latham & Watkins

Request for Future Reserve:

\$ 1,000,000.00 - Latham & Watkins

The total amount requested for this case in this resolution is thus \$1.1 million for Latham & Watkins.

3. Erica Aaron, et al. v. Michael Aguirre, et al [SDPOA #3], United States District Court Case No. 06 CV 1451

On July 18, 2006, numerous police officers filed and served a complaint in this case, which raises issues related to SDPOA #1. This matter is still pending in United States District Court, but could be dismissed as a result of the court's decision in SDPOA #1.

No outstanding invoices are due. This request solely involves a reserve for future work on the matter.

Request for Future Reserve:

\$ 700,000.00 - Latham & Watkins

Request for Future Reserve:

\$ 100,000.00- Wehner & Perlman

The total amount requested for this case in this resolution is thus \$800,000.

<u>FISCAL CONSIDERATIONS</u>: Authorize an additional \$3,215,000.00 to provide defense to the City of San Diego, as well as current and former City officials and staff related to the defense of SDPOA #1 USDC Case No. 05CV1581 H, SDPOA #2 USDC Case No. 05CV152 and SDPOA #3 USDC Case No. 06CV1451.

000599

With this action, the Council will authorize the Auditor and Comptroller to appropriate \$3,215,000.00 from the Public Liability Fund to meet this obligation.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL and/or COMMITTEE ACTION:

The Council has been informed in Closed Session of the invoices due and the requests for future reserves detailed above. Previously, the three POA matters were billed as two separate matters.

They are now combined into one matter and this is why they are included in one Executive Summary.

COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND PUBLIC OUTREACH EFFORTS: Not applicable

<u>KEY STAKEHOLDERS AND PROJECTED IMPACTS:</u> Latham & Watkins and Wehner & Perlman

Assistant City Attorney

Kaun Human

The City of San Diego CERTIFICATE OF CITY AUDITOR AND COMPTROLLER

			<u></u>		
C	ERTIFICATE OF UNALLOTTED	BALANCE	AC	2800021.	
		ORIGINATING	DEPT. NO.:	45	

Amoun	:: _	· · · · ·	_				Fund:			
Purpos	e: _									
Date:	-		-			Bye				
Date.	-	By:								
				1	AC	COUNTING DATA				
ACCTG. LINE	CY PY	FUND	DEPT	ORG.	ACCOUNT	JOB ORDER	OPERATION ACCOUNT	BENF/ EQUIP	FACILITY	AMOUNT
				ļ <u>-</u>						
	+ +	-		ļ		 				
	J							TOTAL	AMOUNT	
			_							FUND OVERRIDE
ttached irther co or the p	l resolu ertify, i ourpose	ition, can b n conformit e of said c	e incurred waty with the recontract, that	vithout the v equirements t sufficient	violation of an s of the Chart moneys to n	y of the provis er of the City oneet the oblig	sions of the of San Dieg ations of sa	Charter of the o, that sufficie aid contract a	City of San I ent moneys have re actually in	thorized by the here Diego; and I do herel ave been appropriate in the Treasury, or a
ttached or the p nticipat ow act therwis	resolutertify, in ourpose ed to out ually in e unen	ition, can b n conformit e of said c come into ti	e incurred waty with the recontract, that he Treasury ury, togethe	vithout the vequirements t sufficient , to the creer with the	violation of an s of the Chart moneys to n dit of the app	y of the provis er of the City oneet the obligation of the obligation from	sions of the of San Dieg ations of sa n which the	Charter of the o, that sufficient aid contract a same are to	City of San I ent moneys have re actually in be drawn, an	Diego; and I do herel ave been appropriate
ttached urther conther the or the p nticipat ow acti therwis	resolutertify, in ourpose ed to could ually in e unen xceed:	ution, can be no conformite of said come into the the Treas coumbered.	e incurred waty with the recontract, that he Treasury ury, togethe	vithout the veguirements t sufficient , to the creer with the	violation of and soft the Chart moneys to modified the appropers antice, 215,000.00	y of the provis er of the City oneet the obligation of the obligation from	sions of the of San Dieg ations of sa n which the	Charter of the o, that sufficient aid contract a same are to	City of San I ent moneys have re actually in be drawn, an	Diego; and I do herel ave been appropriate to the Treasury, or a to that the said mone
ttached urther of or the p inticipat	resoluertify, i. ertify, i. eurpose ed to cually in e unen exceed:	ution, can be no conformite of said come into the the Treas cumbered. atham & V	e incurred waty with the recontract, that he Treasury ury, together	vithout the veguirements t sufficient, to the creer with the \$3	violation of and a softhe Chart moneys to modify the appropriate of th	y of the proviser of the City oneet the obligoropriation from inpated to compare the compare of	sions of the of San Dieg ations of san which the into the	Charter of the o, that sufficie aid contract a same are to Treasury, to the contract a same for outsing for outsi	City of San I ent moneys have re actually in the drawn, and the credit of some de legal servi	Diego; and I do herelave been appropriate to the Treasury, or a lid that the said monesaid appropriation, a lides to represent the
ttached urther courther courther courther participat ow act therwishot to E	resoluertify, i. purpose ed to cually in e unen exceed:	ution, can be no conformite of said of come into the the Treas ocumbered. Latham & V Authorization City of San	e incurred waty with the recontract, that he Treasury ury, togethed	vithout the veguirements t sufficient, to the creer with the \$3 & Wehner ued retention POA v. Agg	violation of and a softhe Chart moneys to modify the appropriate of th	y of the proviser of the City oneet the obligoropriation from inpated to compare the compare of	sions of the of San Dieg ations of san which the re into the reserve fur f San Diego	Charter of the o, that sufficie aid contract a same are to Treasury, to the only on the one of the	City of San I ent moneys have re actually in be drawn, and he credit of so de legal serving Aguirre. Aut	Diego; and I do hereleave been appropriate to the Treasury, or a led that the said monesaid appropriation, a
ttached urther courther courther courther participat ow act therwishot to E	resoluertify, i. purpose ed to cually in e unen exceed:	ution, can be no conformite of said of come into the the Treas ocumbered. Latham & V Authorization City of San	e incurred waty with the recontract, that he Treasury ury, together watkins LLP on for continu Diego in SD omptroller to	vithout the veguirements t sufficient, to the creer with the \$3 & Wehner ued retention POA v. Agg	violation of and a softhe Chart moneys to modit of the appropriate of	y of the proviser of the City oneet the obligoropriation from inpated to compare the coices & future Abbe v. City o	sions of the of San Dieg ations of san which the re into the reserve full f San Diego	Charter of the o, that sufficie aid contract a same are to Treasury, to the contract and Aaron v. said expenditudes.	city of San I ent moneys have actually in the drawn, and the credit of some credi	Diego; and I do herelave been appropriate the Treasury, or a lid that the said mones and appropriation, a lides to represent the horizes the City
ttached urther control the protection of the pro	resoluertify, i. purpose ed to cually in e unen exceed:	ution, can be no conformite of said of come into the the Treas ocumbered. Latham & V Authorization City of San	e incurred waty with the recontract, that he Treasury ury, together watkins LLP on for continu Diego in SD omptroller to	without the veguirements to sufficient, to the creer with the \$3 & Wehner ued retention of expend medical sufficiency with the sufficiency of the	violation of and sof the Chart moneys to modified the appropriate of t	y of the proviser of the City oneet the obligoropriation from inpated to common decides & future Abbe v. City onee Public Liability.	sions of the of San Dieg ations of san which the re into the reserve full f San Diego	Charter of the o, that sufficie aid contract a same are to Treasury, to the contract and Aaron v. said expenditudes.	city of San I ent moneys have actually in the drawn, and the credit of some credi	Diego; and I do herelave been appropriate the Treasury, or a lid that the said mones and appropriation, a lides to represent the horizes the City
ttached urther controlled the protection of the protection ow activation of the therwise lot to E lendor: Purpose	resoluertify, i. purpose ed to cually in e unen exceed:	ution, can be no conformite of said of come into the the Treas ocumbered. Latham & V Authorization City of San	e incurred waty with the recontract, that he Treasury ury, together watkins LLP on for continu Diego in SD omptroller to	without the veguirements to sufficient, to the creer with the \$3 & Wehner ued retention of expend medical sufficiency with the sufficiency of the	violation of and sof the Chart moneys to modified the appropriate of t	y of the proviser of the City oneet the obligoropriation from ipated to compare the compare to the compare the coices & future Abbe v. City one Public Liability	sions of the of San Dieg ations of san which the into the reserve full f San Diego ty Fund for	Charter of the o, that sufficie aid contract a same are to Treasury, to the middle of the contract and Aaron v. said expenditute.	city of San I ent moneys have actually in the drawn, and the credit of some credi	Diego; and I do herelave been appropriate the Treasury, or a lid that the said mones and appropriation, a lides to represent the horizes the City
ttached urther coor the p nticipat ow act therwis Not to E /endor: Purpose Date: ACCTG. LINE	resoluertify, i. purpose ed to cually in e unen exceed:	ation, can be needed to conformite of said of come into the treasumbered. Latham & V. Authorization City of San Auditor & C.	e incurred waty with the recontract, that he Treasury ury, together valkins LLP on for continu Diego in SD omptroller to July 12	without the vequirements to sufficient, to the creer with the \$3 & Wehner used retention POA v. Again expend m. 2, 2007	violation of and sof the Chart moneys to modified the appropriate of t	y of the proviser of the City oneet the obligoropriation from inpated to compare the compare of	sions of the of San Dieg ations of san which the re into the reserve full f San Diego	Charter of the o, that sufficie aid contract a same are to Treasury, to the middle of the contract and Aaron v. said expenditute.	city of San I ent moneys have actually in the drawn, and the credit of some credi	Diego; and I do herelave been appropriate to the Treasury, or a lad that the said mones and appropriation, a lad that the said appropriation, a lad that the horizes the City
ttached urther coor the protection to the protection of the protec	resoluertify, i. purpose ed to cually in e unen exceed:	ation, can be needed to conformite of said of come into the treas cumbered. _atham & V Authorization City of San Auditor & C	e incurred waty with the recontract, that he Treasury ury, together valkins LLP on for continu Diego in SD omptroller to July 12	without the vequirements to sufficient, to the creer with the \$3 & Wehner ued retention POA v. Agro expend m	violation of and sof the Chart moneys to modified the appropriate of t	y of the proviser of the City oneet the obligoropriation from inpated to compare the compare of	e reserve fund for San Diego ty Fund for OPERATION	Charter of the o, that sufficie aid contract a same are to Treasury, to the middle of the contract and Aaron v. said expenditude of the contract and	City of San I ent moneys have actually in the drawn, and the credit of some credi	Diego; and I do here ave been appropriate the Treasury, or a sid that the said monesaid appropriation, a side to represent the horizes the City
ttached urther co or the p nticipat ow act therwis dot to E dendor: durpose date: ACCTG. LINE	resoluertify, i. purpose ed to cually in e unen exceed:	ation, can be needed to conformite of said of come into the treas cumbered. Latham & V. Authorization City of San Auditor & C.	e incurred waty with the recontract, that he Treasury ury, together valkins LLP on for continu Diego in SD omptroller to July 12	without the vequirements to sufficient, to the creer with the \$3 & Wehner used retention POA v. Again expend m. 2, 2007	violation of and sof the Chart moneys to modified the appropriate of t	y of the proviser of the City oneet the obligoropriation from inpated to compare the compare of	e reserve fund for San Diego ty Fund for OPERATION	Charter of the o, that sufficie aid contract a same are to Treasury, to the middle of the contract and Aaron v. said expenditude of the contract and	City of San I ent moneys have actually in the drawn, and the credit of some credi	Diego; and I do herelave been appropriate to the Treasury, or a lad that the said mones and appropriation, a lad that the said appropriation, a lad that the horizes the City
ttached urther coor the p nticipat ow act therwis Not to E /endor: Purpose Date: ACCTG. LINE	resoluertify, i. purpose ed to cually in e unen exceed:	ation, can be needed to conformite of said of come into the treas cumbered. Latham & V. Authorization City of San Auditor & C.	e incurred waty with the recontract, that he Treasury ury, together valkins LLP on for continu Diego in SD omptroller to July 12	without the vequirements to sufficient, to the creer with the \$3 & Wehner used retention POA v. Again expend m. 2, 2007	violation of and sof the Chart moneys to modified the appropriate of t	y of the proviser of the City oneet the obligoropriation from inpated to compare the compare of	e reserve fund for San Diego ty Fund for OPERATION	Charter of the o, that sufficie aid contract a same are to Treasury, to the middle of the contract and Aaron v. said expenditude of the contract and	City of San I ent moneys have actually in the drawn, and the credit of some credi	Diego; and I do herelave been appropriate to the Treasury, or a lad that the said mones and appropriation, a lad that the said appropriation, a lad that the horizes the City
ttached urther coor the p nticipat ow act therwis Not to E /endor: Purpose Date: ACCTG. LINE	resoluertify, i. purpose ed to cually in e unen exceed:	ation, can be needed to conformite of said of come into the treas cumbered. Latham & V. Authorization City of San Auditor & C.	e incurred waty with the recontract, that he Treasury ury, together valkins LLP on for continu Diego in SD omptroller to July 12	without the vequirements to sufficient, to the creer with the \$3 & Wehner used retention POA v. Again expend m. 2, 2007	violation of and sof the Chart moneys to modified the appropriate of t	y of the proviser of the City oneet the obligoropriation from inpated to compare the compare of	e reserve fund for San Diego ty Fund for OPERATION	Charter of the o, that sufficie aid contract a same are to Treasury, to the middle of the contract and Aaron v. said expendituation and Contract and	City of San I ent moneys have actually in be drawn, and the credit of some credit	Diego; and I do herelave been appropriate the Treasury, or and that the said mone said appropriation, a said appropriation, a said appropriation the horizes the City AMOUNT \$3,215,000.00
ttached urther co or the p nticipat ow act therwis dot to E dendor: durpose date: ACCTG. LINE	resoluertify, i. burpose ed to cually in e unen exceed:	ation, can be needed to conformite of said of come into the treas cumbered. Latham & V. Authorization City of San Auditor & C.	e incurred waty with the recontract, that he Treasury ury, together valkins LLP on for continu Diego in SD omptroller to July 12	without the vequirements to sufficient, to the creer with the \$3 & Wehner used retention POA v. Again expend m. 2, 2007	violation of and sof the Chart moneys to modified the appropriate of t	y of the proviser of the City oneet the obligoropriation from inpated to compare the compare of	e reserve fund for San Diego ty Fund for OPERATION	Charter of the o, that sufficie aid contract a same are to Treasury, to the middle of the contract and Aaron v. said expenditude of the contract and	City of San I ent moneys have actually in be drawn, and the credit of some credit	Diego; and I do here ave been appropriate the Treasury, or a lid that the said mon said appropriation, a lices to represent the horizes the City AMOUNT

000603 (R-2008-53)

RESOLUTION NUMBER R- $_$	
DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE	

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, that the City Attorney is authorized to continue the retention of Latham & Watkins, LLP, for an additional amount not to exceed \$2,900,000, to provide legal services in SDPOA v. Michael Aguirre, et al, USDC Case No. 05 CV 1581; Marcus Abbe, et al v. City of San Diego, USDC Case No. 05 CV 1629; and Erica Aaron, et al v. Michael Aguirre, USDC Case No. 06 CV 1451.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Attorney is authorized to continue the retention of Wehner & Perlman for an additional amount not to exceed \$315,000, to provide legal services in SDPOA v. Michael Aguirre, et al, USDC Case No. 05 CV 1581, and Erica Aaron, et al v. Michael Aguirre, USDC Case No. 06 CV 1451.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Auditor and Comptroller is authorized to expend the amount not to exceed \$3,215,000 from the Public Liability Fund, solely, and exclusively for the purpose of providing funds for the above described legal services, contingent upon the City Auditor and Comptroller first furnishing one or more certificates demonstrating that the funds necessary for expenditures are, or will be, on deposit in the City Treasury.

APPROVED: MICHAEL J. AGUIRRE, City Attorney

By

Karen A. Heumann Assistant City Attorney

KAH:als 07/12/07

Or.Dept:City Atty. Aud.Cert:2800021

	ELIZABETH S. MALAND City Clerk
	By Deputy City Clerk
Approved:(date)	JERRY SANDERS, Mayor